

# Hospice UK National Conference

## Example 1: Abstract will not be accepted

### **Title: ACP**

There are many nursing care homes in our hospice neighbourhood. We had been informed that one was requesting support from the hospice community palliative care team with ACP. They were undertaking the GSFCH Programme, and ACP was an element of the programme. We contacted the care home manager and arranged to visit them. At this visit we explored how we could work with them and support them with the residents they had living in the home. All of the residents had dementia. Our support was welcomed. In our presentation we will report on the support we provided.

**97 words**



**Comment** This is a very interesting subject but unfortunately I am unable to assess it for scoring because it does not follow the suggested format headings and the activity is not well described. It would be helpful to use headings, descriptions and to use the full word count (300 words)

**Total abstract score is Section 1 + Section 2 = 04/18**

**Your recommendation (please indicate your 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> choice)**

2<sup>nd</sup> Choice = Oral presentation

1<sup>st</sup> Choice = Displayed poster

**1<sup>st</sup> Choice = Decline**

**Additional comment:** *Please use this section to note anything else that you feel is of importance e.g.*

- Abstracts which you want to particularly recommend for oral presentation or poster - or to be declined.
- Abstracts for which you want to explain more about why you have made a recommendation.
- Anything else you want to say about your submitted scores and comments.

*Use this section also to note a score of zero for work in progress which does not have Results / Conclusions content.*

This abstract is only 97 words in length. Abbreviations are not explained. No references included in support. It does not contain enough information and so the recommendation is to decline.

## Study descriptions

- Audit: A quality improvement cycle where care delivered is measured against a pre-determined standard.
- Quality Improvement: The systematic use of tools to improve safety, effectiveness, and experience of care.
- Research: A systematic approach that uses scientific methods, and results in the creation of new knowledge.
- Unclear/Uncertain/Other: For anything else which is not covered by the categories above.

Acknowledgement: Based upon work by Julie Kinley and Melanie Taylor, 2024